

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,887	12/14/2001	Jan Svoboda	3594-041-999	5988
75	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
Pennie & Edmonds			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C	
1667 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
		DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/913,887	SVOBODA, JAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph C Rodriguez	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REINALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THE WAY OF THE PRISON OF THE WAY	N. 8.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 10 September 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	tion of Claims	- At					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-29 is/are pending in the application.						
5/□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,—	tion Papers	a/or election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>11 June 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT				
S Datent and	Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

Final Rejection

Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for reasons detailed below.

The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections are maintained or modified as follows:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 24 and 27 recite the limitation "the magnetizing coil[s]". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it is unclear if Applicant intended to include this limitation in base claim 19.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "C-dipole tips" (In. 3). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the tips of upper and lower members" (In. 3).

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the steel core" (In. 3). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, the language "magnetic field pattern is achieved by appropriate shaping" renders the claims indefinite as the range of possible shapes

Art Unit: 3653

makes the scope of the claims unascertainable. Examiner recommends eliminating this language and claiming the shape with particularity as required.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the newly submitted "Brief Description of the Drawings" is replete with spelling errors wherein "dipole" is spelled as "diople".

Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 29 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed as the newly presented claim is an apparatus and the originally presented claims are a process. These inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). Here, the claimed process can be, and are, practiced by materially different apparatuses.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 29 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Examiner has maintained the prior art rejections, statutory rejections and drawing objections as previously stated and as modified above. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is **703-308-8342**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during normal business hours (9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9326** (After-Final **703-972-9327**).

The **UnOfficial** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-306-2571** or **703-308-6552**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 703-746-3678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

November 3, 2003

DONALD R WALSH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600